Claims 41-55 are pending. The Examiner indicated that the application contains claims

directed to the following patentably distinct inventions:

GROUP I: Claims 45-47, 53-55 drawn to a bearing; and

GROUP II: Claims 41-44, 48-52 drawn to a process of making a bearing.

The Examiner has required Applicants to elect a single group for prosecution on the merits and

Examiner has also further required a further species restriction if the product invention of

GROUP I is elected.

By this Response, Applicants respectfully elect, without traverse, the invention of

GROUP II relating to Claims 41-44 and 48-52, cancel Claims 45-47 and 53-55, and add Claims

56-61 directed to the process of GROUP II. As the product invention claims of GROUP I have

been canceled, Applicants respectfully submit that no further species election is required.

Applicants note that Claims 44-47 and 52-55, as previously presented, inadvertently

recited product claims depending directly or indirectly from independent method claims 41 and

48, respectively. Applicants have amended Claims 44 and 52 to properly recite a method

consistent with GROUP II grouping of claims required by the Examiner. Applicants have also

cancelled the inadvertent product claims, Claims 45-47 and 53-55, to comply with the restriction

requirement and present these claims as newly added dependent method claims 56-58 and 59-61,

respectively. Applicants respectfully submit that these newly added claims properly belong with

the elected GROUP II claims from which they depend.

6

CONCLUSION

This response now includes a complete listing of all the claims.

In view of the foregoing, examination of the current application on the merits are respectfully requested.

The undersigned is available for telephone consultation during normal business hours.

Respectfully submitted,

Date

Leon Nigohosian, Jr.

Reg. No. 39,791

Michael Best & Friedrich LLP 100 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-4108 Tel. 610-798-2176

tober 29,2004